

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

VB

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/470,489	06/06/99	5 MONTAGNIER L	2356.0014-09

HM22/0823

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

EXAMINER							
PARKIN, J							

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1648
29

DATE MAILED:

08/23/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/470,489

-,-,

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1648

Montagnier et al.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]											
	a) [expires	months from the ma	ailing date of the final rej	ection.						
	b) 🔀	expires either the is later. In no exrejection.	ee months from the mai rent, however, will the s	lling date of the final reje tatutory period for the re	ction, or on the sponse expire la	mailing date of thi ter than six month	s Advisory Action, whichever s from the date of the final				
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.										
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).										
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>3 Aug 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:											
X The proposed amendment(s):											
	□ wi	ill be entered up	on filing of a Notice	of Appeal and an App	eal Brief.						
	X wi	ill <mark>not be e</mark> ntere	d because:								
	X	they raise new	issues that would re	equire further conside	ration and/or s	search. (See no	te below).				
		•	issue of new matter.								
	X	they are not dissues for appe		pplication in better fo	rm for appeal	by materially re	ducing or simplifying the				
		they present a	dditional claims witho	out cancelling a corre	sponding num	ber of finally rej	ected claims.				
	NO						would require further				
		<u>considera</u>	<u>tion and/or searching</u>	·			4				
	□ Ar	pplicant's respo		e following rejection(s							
	Newly separ	y proposed or a ate, timely filed	mended claims	ng the non-allowable	claims.	would be allo	owable if submitted in a				
X		iffidavit, exhibit lowance becaus		sideration has been co	onsidered but	does NOT place	the application in condition				
	The c	claims stand reje	ected for the reasons	of record set forth in	the last Offic	e action (Paper	No. 27).				
		offidavit or exhib xaminer in the f		dered because it is no	t directed SOI	LELY to issues v	which were newly raised by				
X	For p	urposes of App	eal, the status of the	claims is as follows (see attached	written explanat	tion, if any):				
	Claim	s allowed:									
	Claim	s objected to: _					•				
	Claim	s rejected: <u>90-</u>	109								
							proved by the Examiner.				
	Note	the attached In	formation Disclosure	Statement(s), PTO-14	149, Paper No)(s)	_ ' 				
	Other	•		,		4	7				
					100)				
					UQ	(1 , R A .	JEFFREY S. PARKIN, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER				
						E SCHEINER RY EXAMINER	ART UNIT 1648				

Advisory Action